S/N: 10/711,202

Reply to Office Action of October 27, 2006

Remarks

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claim 1 was rejected, claims 2-10

were objected to, and claims 11-20 were withdrawn. By this Amendment, claims 1-3, 5, 6,

and 8 have been amended. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. No new

matter has been added.

Claim Objections

The minor informality in claim 2 noted by the Examiner has been deleted,

thereby rendering this objection moot.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 1 was rejected under § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No.

6,266,597 issued to Russell et al. (hereinafter "Russell '597") in view of U.S. Patent No.

4,119,862 issued to Gocho (hereinafter "Gocho '862"). Applicants have amended claim 1 to

include the limitations of claim 2, which the Examiner indicated to be allowable if rewritten

in independent form. Applicants have simplified the preamble of claim 1 by eliminating

language not recited in the body of the claim. Consequently, these preamble amendments are

not believed to affect the allowance of claim 1.

-6-

Atty Dkt No. 81101748 (FMC 1767 PUS)

S/N: 10/711,202

Reply to Office Action of October 27, 2006

Conclusion

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to the Examiner's objections and rejections in advancing the prosecution of this case. Applicants believe all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

MARK YAMAZAKI et al.

Matthew M. Mietzel Reg. No. 46,929

Attorney for Applicant

Date: December 8, 2006

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400 Fax: 248-358-3351